

**City of Fairmont
Martin County, Minnesota**

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and
Reports on Compliance with
Government Auditing Standards and
Legal Compliance**

December 31, 2021



**City of Fairmont
Table of Contents**

| | |
|---|----|
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 1 |
| Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 2 |
| Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 3 |
| Report on Compliance for each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance | 5 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 8 |
| Minnesota Legal Compliance | 10 |

City of Fairmont
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Year Ended December 31, 2021

| Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Grant Program Title | Federal CFDA Number | Expenditures |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Department of Commerce | | |
| Economic Adjustment Assistance | 11.307 | \$ 622,618 |
| Economic Adjustment Assistance | 11.307C | <u>419,405</u> |
| Total Economic Development Cluster | | 1,042,023 |
| Department of Transportation | | |
| Minnesota Department of Transportation | | |
| Highway Planning and Construction (Cluster) | 20.205 | <u>295,972</u> |
| Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster | | 295,972 |
| Department of Transportation | | |
| Minnesota Department of Transportation | | |
| Airport Improvement Program | 20.106 | <u>172,023</u> |
| Minnesota Department of Transportation | | |
| COVID-19 Airport Improvement Program | 20.106C | <u>29,999</u> |
| Department of Treasury | | |
| Passed through Minnesota Management and Budget: | | |
| COVID - American Rescue Plan | 21.027 | <u>63,846</u> |
| Environmental Protection Agency | | |
| Passed through State of Minnesota Public Facilities Authority | | |
| Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds (Cluster) | 66.458 | <u>1,596,737</u> |
| Total federal expenditures | | <u><u>\$ 3,200,600</u></u> |
| Balance of RLF loans outstanding at year end | | |
| | | \$ 300,250 |
| Cash and investment balances in the RLF at year end | | |
| | | 311,907 |
| Administrative expenses paid out of RLF income during the year | | |
| | | <u>10,461</u> |
| Subtotal | | |
| | | <u>622,618</u> |
| Federal share of the RLF | | |
| | | 100% |
| Total Expenditures | | |
| | | <u><u>\$ 622,618</u></u> |
| Balance of RLF loans outstanding at year end | | |
| | | \$ 316,333 |
| Cash and investment balances in the RLF at year end | | |
| | | 93,618 |
| Administrative expenses paid out of RLF income during the year | | |
| | | <u>9,454</u> |
| Subtotal | | |
| | | <u>419,405</u> |
| Federal share of the RLF | | |
| | | 100% |
| Total Expenditures | | |
| | | <u><u>\$ 419,405</u></u> |

City of Fairmont
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Fairmont, Minnesota and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in, the preparation of the regulatory basis financial statements.

NOTE 2 – PASS-THROUGH GRANT NUMBERS

All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.

NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST RATE

The City did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate, as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an
Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance
with *Government Auditing Standards***

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members
of the City Council
City of Fairmont
Fairmont, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Fairmont, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2022.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses, or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Minneapolis, Minnesota
May 23, 2022

**Report on Compliance for each Major Federal Program
and Report on Internal Control over Compliance Required by
the Uniform Guidance**

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members
of the City Council
City of Fairmont
Fairmont, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2021. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Example Entity's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance (Continued)

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.


Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Faribault, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to financial statements which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2022, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



Minneapolis, Minnesota
May 23, 2022

**City of Fairmont
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Type of auditor’s report issued: | We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). |
|----------------------------------|--|

| | |
|--|----|
| Internal control over financial reporting: | |
| • Material weakness(es) identified? | No |
| • Significant deficiency(ies) identified? | No |

| | |
|---|----|
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | No |
|---|----|

Federal Awards

| | |
|---|------------|
| Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs: | Unmodified |
|---|------------|

| | |
|---|----|
| Internal control over major programs: | |
| • Material weakness(es) identified? | No |
| • Significant deficiency(ies) identified? | No |

| | |
|--|----|
| Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? | No |
|--|----|

Identification of Major Programs

| | |
|--|---|
| CFDA No.: | 66.458 |
| Name of Federal Program or Cluster: | Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: | \$750,000 |
| Auditee qualified as low risk auditee? | Yes |

**City of Fairmont
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDING

None

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no questioned costs.

SECTION IV – PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None

Minnesota Legal Compliance

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members
of the City Council
City of Fairmont
Fairmont, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Fairmont, Minnesota as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 23, 2022.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Cities*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to *Minnesota Statutes* § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Bergan KDV Ltd.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
May 23, 2022