CITY OF FAIRMONT - 100 Downtown Plaza - Fairmont, MN 56031
Phone (507) 238-9461

To: $\quad$ Board of Zoning Appeals
From: $\quad$ Peter Bode, Planner \& Zoning Official

Subject: Agenda - Regular Meeting
Tuesday, June 4, 2024
City Council Chambers, City Hall, 100 Downtown Plaza

1) Approval of Agenda
2) Approval of Minutes - May 21, 2024

New Business
3) Public Hearing - Variance Request - 1265 S Highway 15

Unfinished Business
None
4) Adjournment

## MINUTES OF THE FAIRMONT BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

## Special Meeting

May 21, 2024
City Council Chambers, City Hall, 100 Downtown Plaza

Members present: Jon Davis, Mike Klujeske, Susan Krueger, Council Liaison Wayne Hasek, Council Liaison Jay Maynard Members absent: Mike Jacobson, Adam Smith
Staff present: Planner \& Zoning Official Peter Bode

Chair Klujeske called the meeting to order at 4:31 p.m.

Approval of Agenda: Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to approve the agenda as presented. Motion carried.

Election of Officers: Krueger nominated, and Davis seconded the nomination for Smith for chair. Members discussed the nomination. There were no further nominations. Smith was elected chair.

Davis nominated, and Krueger seconded the nomination for Klujeske as vice chair. Members discussed the nomination. There were no further nominations. Klujeske was elected vice chair.

Smith was not in attendance so Klujeske continued as the meeting's acting chair.

Approval of Minutes - December 5, 2023: Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to approve the December 5, 2023 meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried.

Public Hearing - Variance Request - 913 N Elm St: Chair Klujeske opened the public hearing. Bode introduced a request by José Monrroy at 913 North Elm Street for a variance to allow a 26-foot instead of 30-foot eastern front yard requirement for a home addition. Bode stated that staff's findings support approval of the variance.

José Monrroy, applicant, spoke in favor of the request.

There were no further public comments. Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to close the public hearing. Motion carried.

Members discussed the request.

Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to approve the request. Motion carried.

Public Hearing - Variance Request - 102 Parkwood PI : Chair Klujeske opened the public hearing. Bode introduced a request by Lee Wibben at 102 Parkwood Place for a variance to allow the following for a garage addition:

4-foot instead of 8-foot northern side yard setback requirement
16-foot instead of 30 -foot top-of-bluff (average) setback requirement

Bode stated that staff's findings support approval of the variance.

Lee Wibben, applicant, spoke in favor of the request.

There were no further public comments. Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to close the public hearing. Motion carried.

Members discussed the request.

Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to approve the request. Motion carried.
Public Hearing - Variance Request - $\mathbf{3 1 5} \mathbf{N}$ Prairie Ave: Chair Klujeske opened the public hearing. Bode introduced a request by Preston Vaughn at 315 North Prairie Avenue for a variance to allow the following to accommodate a minor subdivision and lot split:

3-foot instead of 7-foot southern side yard setback requirement
5 -foot instead of 9 -foot northern side yard setback requirement
69 -foot instead of 75 -foot lot width requirement
3,476 instead of 7,500 square foot lot area requirement
4,335 instead of 7,500 square foot lot area requirement
Bode stated that staff's findings support approval of the variance.
Mark Kramer, resident, spoke against the request.
There were no further public comments. Motion by Krueger and second by Davis to close the public hearing. Motion carried.

Members discussed the request.
Motion by Davis and second by Krueger to approve the request. Motion carried.
Adjournment: There were no further agenda items. Motion by Krueger and second by Davis to adjourn. Motion carried and the meeting adjourned at 5:19 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Peter Bode

## GENERAL INFORMATION

| Applicant: | Mike Sasse, Willow Pet Hospital |
| :--- | :--- |
| Property Owner: | Southernmost Fairmont, LLC |
| Purpose: | To allow a 5-foot instead of 10-foot northern side yard setback requirement |
| Address: | 1265 S Highway 15 |
| Parcel Number: | 23.088 .0010 |
| Zoning: | B-3 |
| Surrounding Uses: | Highway commercial |
| Application Date: | May 16, 2024 |
| Review Date: | June 4, 2024 |

## BACKGROUND

This B-3 General Business lot is serviced by a Highway 15 service road to the west and is surrounded by other highway businesses. 100 feet in width and 410 feet in length, the lot is developed with a pet hospital in the northwest and a parking lot immediately to the building's south.

The applicant requests a variance to allow a 5 -foot instead of 10-foot northern side yard requirement for a business addition to the west of the current building. The addition is proposed to be set back approximately the same distance as the current building to the northern property line. The addition is proposed to meet the western front yard setback requirement.

## REVIEW OF VARIANCE STANDARDS

The Board of Zoning Appeals may hear requests for variances from the requirements of the zoning ordinance. The Board shall only grant variances where the applicant establishes that each of the following criteria required under Minnesota Statutes, section 462.357, subd. 6 are met for each requested variance:
(a) The variance is in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the zoning code;

The purpose of the zoning code's side yard requirement is to provide for uniform distances of development from side yards along commercial corridors; scaling to the width of any given lot. A 100-foot wide lot like the subject parcel has a 10 -foot side yard setback requirement.

Staff find that the request is in harmony with the general purpose of the zoning code. Side yard distances are commonly non-conforming along this portion of Highway 15. This is true immediately to the south of the subject parcel and staff conclude the request is therefore in harmony with the intent of the zoning code.
(b) The variance is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;

The Fairmont Comprehensive Plan guides the use of this area to be commercial.

Staff find that the request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
(c) The property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by the zoning code;

Staff find the property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner because the addition will not be any closer to the northern property line than the existing structure. Building a new, detached building to the south is impractical because a parking lot exists to the south.
(d) The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property not created by the landowner; and

Staff find that a unique circumstance exists in that the existing structure is already 5 feet from the northern property line.
(e) The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.

Staff's find the request will not alter the essential character of the locality because side yard distances like proposed are common along this portion of Highway 15.

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff's findings support approval of the requested variance to allow a 5-foot instead of 10-foot northern side yard setback requirement.

Staff have prepared BZA Resolution 2024-1 for the Board's consideration, which would approve the variance while incorporating staff's findings. The Board may approve or deny the resolution by roll call motion, or amend it. The Board may recommend to the applicant in addition to denial that the applicant amend their proposal and apply for a new variance, if the Board so desires.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Bode
Planner \& Zoning Official

Attached: Variance Criteria Guidance
BZA Resolution 2024-1
Application for variance
Satellite photo of ar

## CITY OF FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS RESOLUTION BZA \#2024-1

## A RESOLUTION BY THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF THE CITY OF FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA, APPROVING A VARIANCE REQUEST AT 1265 S HIGHWAY 15

WHEREAS, MIKE SASSE (the "Applicant") is the owner of a parcel of land located at 1265 SOUTH HIGHWAY 15 (PID No. 23.088.0010) in the City of Fairmont; and

WHEREAS, the above-referenced property is legally described as COUNTRY SIDE LOT 001 BLOCK 001 (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, Fairmont City Code, Chapter 26-158(e)(4)(b) sets the principal structure setback from the side property line at 10 feet; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant desires to and has requested a variance to the above standards in order to place a structure 5 feet from the northern side property line; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, section 462.357, subd. 6, the Board of Zoning Appeals may only grant applications for variances where practical difficulties in complying with the zoning code exist and each of the following criteria are satisfied (see also City Code Section 26-101):
(a) The variance is in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the zoning code;
(b) The variance is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;
(c) The property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by the zoning code;
(d) The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property not created by the landowner; and
(e) The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing, following required public notice thereof, on June 4, 2024, and has reviewed the requested variance and has considered the required statutory variance criteria identified in the staff report and proposed findings with respect to such criteria.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF THE CITY OF FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA, that the Fairmont Board of Zoning Appeals has duly considered the required criteria contained in state law and City Code as applicable to the aboverequested variance regarding the property, and hereby adopts the findings of fact contained in the staff report regarding the same, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the requested variance to allow a 5 -foot instead of 10 -foot northern side yard setback is hereby approved based upon the above-referenced adopted findings.

PASSED by the Board of Zoning Appeals of the City of Fairmont this $4^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2024.

|  |  |  | Adam Smith, Chair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mike Klujeske, Vice Chair |
| VOTE: | DAVIS | JACOBSON | _ KLUJESKE |
|  | - | ER | MITH |

## EXHIBIT B

Findings of Fact:
INSERT STAFF REPORT WITH CRITERIA

## CITY OF FAIRMONT <br> Planning \& Zoning <br> Application Form

NOTE TO APPLICANT: This is a comprehensive application form. Only those items related to your specific type of development are to be completed. All items applicable must be included prior to acceptance of the application.


| Type of Application | Fee | Submission Requirements <br> (Attached) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Appeal/Code Amendment | $\$ 150.00$ | 7 |
| Administrative Appeal | 50.00 | 8 |
| Conditional Use Permit | 150.00 | $4,6(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g})$ |
| Home Occupation Permit | 30.00 | 9 |
| Minor Plat | 90.00 | $2(\mathrm{a}), 5(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ |
| Planned Unit Development | 150.00 | $1,4,6(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g})$ |
| Preliminary Plat | 150.00 | $5(\mathrm{~b}), 6$ |
| Rezoning | 150.00 | 1 |
| Variance | 90.00 | 2,3 |
| Z |  |  |

## I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION AND EXHIBITS HEREWITH SUBMITTED ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.



City Staff Use Only
DATE FILED: $\qquad$
DATE FEE PAID:
MEETING DATE: $\qquad$
NOTICES SENT (DATE):
NOTIFICATION OF EXTENSION (LETTER SENT): $\qquad$

Willow Pet Hospital
Date: Tuesday, May 14, 2024

Variance Request - We are requesting a variance to put an addition on the front of the building extending the north wall an additional 20 feet encroaching on the north side setback. The current building is an existing non-conforming building and does not meet setback requirements.

1. The purpose of the addition and remodel of the existing building is multifactorial.
a. We have exceeded the building's current layout to meet our business needs. Our limited exam rooms, treatment area and often busy and full lobby have limited our ability to grow and strained our staff and clients and sometimes impacts patient safety.
b. The building facade is old and outdated and the addition would allow us improve the overall appearance, add additional light with windows in our lobby and create a safer and more energy efficient vestibule for our main entrance.
c. Remodeling the existing space only would only give us enough room to meet our existing demand and no additional space to grow.
d. The addition would allow us to break the remodeling project into phases which would be less disruptive to our clients, patients and staff.
e. The current building is a steel framed / steel sided structure. These types of buildings are very difficult to renovate or modify because of their design. Adding windows and doors alter the structural integrity of the building can be difficult if not impossible and expensive.
2. The current property is zoned business and any alterations to the building would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan of Business/Commercial on this property.
3. Meeting the existing north side set back requirement of 10 feet would defeat one of the main purposes of the renovation to improve the façade. The existing 120 ' long existing building encroached on the current setback; adding 20 ' or $15 \%$ to length is reasonable and does not effect the neighboring building to the north significantly.
4. Unique circumstances to this property is the pre-existing setback encroachment and the difficult nature of renovating a steel framed building.
5. Looking to our neighbor's to the north at Dan's Appliance, they have a very similar building (all steel) and added a similar addition to the front to improve window light into the building and improve the façade. Our addition and improvements would be consistent with this trend.

## Best Regards,

Mike Sasse, DVM
Willow Pet Hospital
$\overline{\text { Willow Pet Hospital }}$


(iin) PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION

(2ii) PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION
1265 Highway 15 S
Fairmont Minnesota


 signaute
$\underset{\substack{\text { name } \\ \text { JotN D ANDERSON } \\ \text { regestraie }}}{\text { 23628 }}$
Rensontinn
$\underbrace{\text { date }}_{\substack{\text { REsISIONHITroery: } \\ \text { desestion }}}$

(3in) PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION
PRELIMINARY DRAWING


O $=5 / 8 \quad$ LEGEND:
O $=5 / 8$ Inch $\times 16$ Inch iron stake monument
(Capped SJT 22705)-Placed

- Capped SJT 22705)-Placed
- Found $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$
$=$ Set Cut +
$\mathrm{M}=$ Measured Dimension
$\mathrm{R}=$ Record Dimension
$\mathrm{P}=$ Rlat Distance
N.T.S. $=$ Not to Scale
- $x$ - = Fenceline




